## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

DAVID ADRIAN SMITH,	<b>§</b>
PLAINTIFF,	§
	§
V.	<b>§ CIVIL CASE No. 3:22-CV-1580-B-BK</b>
	§
WWE INC., ET AL.,	§
DEFENDANTS.	<b>§</b>

## **ORDER**

Plaintiff seeks the appointment of counsel but fails to present facts showing circumstances that establish the Court should exercise its discretion to appoint counsel. Doc. 6,

A court may appoint counsel for a plaintiff proceeding *in forma pauperis* if the case presents "exceptional circumstances." *Ulmer v. Chancellor*, 691 F.2d 209, 213 (5th Cir. 1982); see Castro Romero v. Becken, 256 F.3d 349, 353-54 (5th Cir. 2001) (concluding an *in forma pauperis* plaintiff is not entitled to court-appointed counsel as a matter of law); 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). In determining whether a case presents exceptional circumstances, the court may consider the following factors: (1) the type and complexity of the case; (2) whether the indigent plaintiff is capable of adequately presenting the case; (3) whether the indigent is in a position to investigate adequately the case; and (4) whether the evidence will consist in large part of conflicting testimony so as to require skill in the presentation of evidence and in cross examination. *Ulmer*, 691 F.2d at 213.

Here, the motion to appoint counsel is unsupported and conclusory. Plaintiff has not shown that the case presents exceptional circumstances warranting the appointment of counsel.

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff's request for the appointment of Counsel is **DENIED** 

WITHOUT PREJUDICE as premature pending completion of the Court's screening process.

**SO ORDERED** on August 4, 2022.

RENEE HARRIS TOLIVER

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE